arXiv:1011.4103v28 [math.LO] 13 Apr 2012

A new characterization of computable functions

Apoloniusz Tyszka

Abstract. Let $E_n = \{x_i = 1,\ x_i + x_j = x_k,\ x_i \cdot x_j = x_k : i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\}$. We prove: (1) there is an algorithm that for every computable function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ returns a positive integer $m(f)$, for which a second algorithm accepts on the input f and any integer $n \geq m(f)$, and returns a system $S \subseteq E_n$ such that S is consistent over the integers and each integer tuple $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ that solves S satisfies $x_1 = f(n)$, (2) there is an algorithm that for every computable function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ returns a positive integer $w(f)$, for which a second algorithm accepts on the input f and any integer $n \geq w(f)$, and returns a system $S \subseteq E_n$ such that S is consistent over $\mathbb{N}$ and each tuple $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of non-negative integers that solves S satisfies $x_1 = f(n)$.

Key words and phrases: computable function, Davis-Putnam-Robinson-Matiyasevich theorem, system of Diophantine equations.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03D20, 11U99.

The Davis-Putnam-Robinson-Matiyasevich theorem states that every recursively enumerable set $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ has a Diophantine representation, that is

$$(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathcal{M} \Longleftrightarrow \exists x_1, \ldots, x_m \in \mathbb{N} \ \ W(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_1, \ldots, x_m) = 0$$

for some polynomial W with integer coefficients, see [2] and [1]. The polynomial W can be computed, if we know a Turing machine M such that, for all $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, M halts on $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ if and only if $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathcal{M}$, see [2] and [1].

Before Theorem 1, we need an algebraic lemma together with introductory matter. Let

$$E_n = \{x_i = 1,\ x_i + x_j = x_k,\ x_i \cdot x_j = x_k : i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\}$$

Let $D(x_1, \ldots, x_p) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_p]$. For the Diophantine equation $2 \cdot D(x_1, \ldots, x_p) = 0$, let M denote the maximum of the absolute values of its coefficients. Let $\mathcal{T}$ denote the family of all polynomials $W(x_1, \ldots, x_p) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_p]$ whose all coefficients belong to the interval $[-M, M]$ and $\deg(W, x_i) \leq d_i = \deg(D, x_i)$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$. Here we consider the degrees of $W(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ and $D(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ with respect to the variable x_i. It is easy to check that

$$\mathrm{card}(\mathcal{T}) = (2M+1)^{(d_1+1) \cdot \ldots \cdot (d_p+1)}$$

We choose any bijection $\tau : \{p+1, \dots, \mathrm{card}(\mathcal{T})\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the family of all equations of the forms:

$$x_i = 1,\ x_i + x_j = x_k,\ x_i \cdot x_j = x_k \ \ (i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, \mathrm{card}(\mathcal{T})\})$$

which are polynomial identities in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_p]$ if

$$\forall s \in \{p+1, \dots, \mathrm{card}(\mathcal{T})\} \ \ x_s = \tau(s)$$

There is a unique $q \in \{p+1, \dots, \mathrm{card}(\mathcal{T})\}$ such that $\tau(q) = 2 \cdot D(x_1, \dots, x_p)$. For each ring $\boldsymbol{K}$ extending $\mathbb{Z}$ the system $\mathcal{H}$ implies $2 \cdot D(x_1, \dots, x_p) = x_q$. To see this, we observe that there exist pairwise distinct $t_0, \dots, t_m \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $m > p$ and

$$t_0 = 1 \ \wedge \ t_1 = x_1 \ \wedge \ \dots \ \wedge \ t_p = x_p \ \wedge \ t_m = 2 \cdot D(x_1, \dots, x_p) \ \wedge$$

$$\forall i \in \{p+1, \dots, m\}\ \exists j, k \in \{0, \dots, i-1\} \ \ (t_j + t_k = t_i \vee t_i + t_k = t_j \vee t_j \cdot t_k = t_i)$$

For each ring $\boldsymbol{K}$ extending $\mathbb{Z}$ and for each $x_1, \dots, x_p \in \boldsymbol{K}$ there exists a unique tuple $(x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{\mathrm{card}(\mathcal{T})}) \in \boldsymbol{K}^{\mathrm{card}(\mathcal{T})-p}$ such that the tuple $(x_1, \dots, x_p, x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{\mathrm{card}(\mathcal{T})})$ solves the system $\mathcal{H}$. The sought elements $x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{\mathrm{card}(\mathcal{T})}$ are given by the formula

$$\forall s \in \{p+1, \dots, \mathrm{card}(\mathcal{T})\} \ \ x_s = \tau(s)(x_1, \dots, x_p)$$

This proves the following Lemma.

Lemma. *The system $\mathcal{H} \cup \{x_q + x_q = x_q\}$ can be simply computed. For each ring $\boldsymbol{K}$ extending $\mathbb{Z}$, the equation $D(x_1, \dots, x_p) = 0$ is equivalent to the system $\mathcal{H} \cup \{x_q + x_q = x_q\} \subseteq E_{\mathrm{card}(\mathcal{T})}$. Formally, this equivalence can be written as*

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_p \in \boldsymbol{K} \Big(D(x_1, \dots, x_p) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \exists x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{\mathrm{card}(\mathcal{T})} \in \boldsymbol{K}$$

$$(x_1, \dots, x_p, x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{\mathrm{card}(\mathcal{T})}) \text{ solves the system } \mathcal{H} \cup \{x_q + x_q = x_q\} \Big)$$

For each ring $\boldsymbol{K}$ extending $\mathbb{Z}$ and for each $x_1, \dots, x_p \in \boldsymbol{K}$ with $D(x_1, \dots, x_p) = 0$ there exists a unique tuple $(x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{\mathrm{card}(\mathcal{T})}) \in \boldsymbol{K}^{\mathrm{card}(\mathcal{T})-p}$ such that the tuple $(x_1, \dots, x_p, x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{\mathrm{card}(\mathcal{T})})$ solves the system $\mathcal{H} \cup \{x_q + x_q = x_q\}$. Hence, for each ring $\boldsymbol{K}$ extending $\mathbb{Z}$ the equation $D(x_1, \dots, x_p) = 0$ has the same number of solutions as the system $\mathcal{H} \cup \{x_q + x_q = x_q\}$.

Putting $M = M/2$ we obtain new families $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{H}$. There is a unique $q \in \{1, \ldots, \mathrm{card}(\mathcal{T})\}$ such that

$$\Big(q \in \{1, \ldots, p\} \ \wedge \ x_q = D(x_1, \ldots, x_p)\Big) \vee$$

$$\Big(q \in \{p+1, \ldots, \mathrm{card}(\mathcal{T})\} \ \wedge \ \tau(q) = D(x_1, \ldots, x_p)\Big)$$

The new system $\mathcal{H} \cup \{x_q + x_q = x_q\}$ is equivalent to $D(x_1, \ldots, x_p) = 0$ and can be simply computed.

Theorem 1. *There is an algorithm that for every computable function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ returns a positive integer $m(f)$, for which a second algorithm accepts on the input f and any integer $n \geq m(f)$, and returns a system $S \subseteq E_n$ such that S is consistent over the integers and each integer tuple $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ that solves S satisfies $x_1 = f(n)$.*

Proof. By the Davis-Putnam-Robinson-Matiyasevich theorem, the function f has a Diophantine representation. It means that there is a polynomial $W(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_r)$ with integer coefficients such that for each non-negative integers x_1, x_2,

$$x_1 = f(x_2) \Longleftrightarrow \exists x_3, \ldots, x_r \in \mathbb{N} \ \ W(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_r) = 0 \qquad \texttt{(E1)}$$

By the equivalence (E1) and Lagrange's four-square theorem, for each integers x_1, x_2, the conjunction $(x_2 \geq 0) \wedge (x_1 = f(x_2))$ holds true if and only if there exist integers

$$a, b, c, d, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, x_3, x_{3,1}, x_{3,2}, x_{3,3}, x_{3,4}, \ldots, x_r, x_{r,1}, x_{r,2}, x_{r,3}, x_{r,4}$$

such that

$$W^2(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_r) + (x_1 - a^2 - b^2 - c^2 - d^2)^2 + (x_2 - \alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \gamma^2 - \delta^2)^2 +$$

$$(x_3 - x_{3,1}^2 - x_{3,2}^2 - x_{3,3}^2 - x_{3,4}^2)^2 + \ldots + (x_r - x_{r,1}^2 - x_{r,2}^2 - x_{r,3}^2 - x_{r,4}^2)^2 = 0$$

By the Lemma, there is an integer $s \geq 3$ such that for each integers x_1, x_2,

$$\Big(x_2 \geq 0 \wedge x_1 = f(x_2)\Big) \Longleftrightarrow \exists x_3, \ldots, x_s \in \mathbb{Z} \;\; \Psi(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_s) \qquad \texttt{(E2)}$$

where the formula $\Psi(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_s)$ is algorithmically determined as a conjunction of formulae of the forms:

$$x_i = 1, \;\; x_i + x_j = x_k, \;\; x_i \cdot x_j = x_k \;\; (i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, s\})$$

Let $m(f) = 4 + 2s$, and let $[\cdot]$ denote the integer part function. For each integer $n \geq m(f)$,

$$n - \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] - 2 - s \geq m(f) - \left[\frac{m(f)}{2}\right] - 2 - s \geq m(f) - \frac{m(f)}{2} - 2 - s = 0$$

Let S denote the following system

$$\begin{cases} \text{all equations occurring in } \Psi(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_s) \\ n - \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] - 2 - s \text{ equations of the form } z_i = 1 \\ \begin{array}{rcl} t_1 & = & 1 \\ t_1 + t_1 & = & t_2 \\ t_2 + t_1 & = & t_3 \\ & \ldots & \\ t_{[\frac{n}{2}]-1} + t_1 & = & t_{[\frac{n}{2}]} \\ t_{[\frac{n}{2}]} + t_{[\frac{n}{2}]} & = & w \\ w + y & = & x_2 \\ y + y & = & y \text{ (if } n \text{ is even)} \\ y & = & 1 \text{ (if } n \text{ is odd)} \end{array} \end{cases}$$

with n variables. By the equivalence `(E2)`, the system S is consistent over $\mathbb{Z}$. If an integer n-tuple $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_s, \ldots, w, y)$ solves S, then by the equivalence `(E2)`,

$$x_1 = f(x_2) = f(w + y) = f\left(2 \cdot \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] + y\right) = f(n)$$

□

A simpler proof, not using Lagrange's four-square theorem, suffices if we consider solutions in non-negative integers.

Theorem 2. *There is an algorithm that for every computable function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ returns a positive integer $w(f)$, for which a second algorithm accepts on the input f and any integer $n \geq w(f)$, and returns a system $S \subseteq E_n$ such that S is consistent over $\mathbb{N}$ and each tuple $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of non-negative integers that solves S satisfies $x_1 = f(n)$.*

Proof. We omit the construction of S because a similar construction is carried out in the proof of Theorem 1. As we now consider solutions in $\mathbb{N}$, we need a new algorithm which transforms any Diophantine equation into an equivalent system of equations of the forms:

$$x_i = 1, \quad x_i + x_j = x_k, \quad x_i \cdot x_j = x_k$$

Let $D(x_1, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_p] \setminus \{0\}$, and let

$$D(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \sum a(i_1, \dots, i_p) \cdot x_1^{i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_p^{i_p}$$

where $a(i_1, \dots, i_p)$ denote non-zero integers. Let

$$B(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \sum (|a(i_1, \dots, i_p)| + 2) \cdot x_1^{i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_p^{i_p}$$

$$A(x_1, \dots, x_p) = D(x_1, \dots .x_p) + B(x_1, \dots, x_p)$$

Then, the equation $D(x_1, \dots, x_p) = 0$ is equivalent to $A(x_1, \dots, x_p) = B(x_1, \dots, x_p)$. The polynomials $A(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ and $B(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ have positive integer coefficients and

$$A(x_1, \dots, x_p) \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_p, 0\} \wedge B(x_1, \dots, x_p) \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_p, 0, A(x_1, \dots, x_p)\}$$

Let δ denote the maximum of the coefficients of $A(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ and $B(x_1, \dots, x_p)$, and let $\mathcal{T}$ denote the family of all polynomials $W(x_1, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_p]$ whose coefficients belong to the interval $[0, \ \delta]$ and

$$\deg(W, x_i) \leq \max\Big(\deg(A, x_i), \ \deg(B, x_i)\Big)$$

for each $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. Here we consider the degrees with respect to the variable x_i. Let n denote the cardinality of $\mathcal{T}$. We choose any bijection

$$\tau : \{p + 1, \dots, n\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$$

such that $\tau(p + 1) = 0$, $\tau(p + 2) = A(x_1, \dots, x_p)$, and $\tau(p + 3) = B(x_1, \dots, x_p)$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the family of all equations of the form

$$x_i = 1, \quad x_i + x_j = x_k, \quad x_i \cdot x_j = x_k \quad (i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\})$$

which are polynomial identities in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_p]$ if

$$\forall s \in \{p + 1, \dots, n\} \ \ x_s = \tau(s)$$

Since $\tau(p+1) = 0$, the equation $x_{p+1} + x_{p+1} = x_{p+1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}$. Let

$$T = \mathcal{H} \cup \{x_{p+1} + x_{p+2} = x_{p+3}\}$$

The system T can be computed, $T \subseteq E_n$, and

$$\forall x_1, \ldots, x_p \in \mathbb{N} \Big(D(x_1, \ldots, x_p) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\exists x_{p+1}, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{N} \ (x_1, \ldots, x_p, x_{p+1}, \ldots, x_n) \text{ solves } T\Big)$$

For each $x_1, \ldots, x_p \in \mathbb{N}$ with $D(x_1, \ldots, x_p) = 0$ there exists a unique tuple $(x_{p+1}, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-p}$ such that the tuple $(x_1, \ldots, x_p, x_{p+1}, \ldots, x_n)$ solves T. Hence, the equation $D(x_1, \ldots, x_p) = 0$ has the same number of non-negative integer solutions as T. □

References

[1] L. B. Kuijer, *Creating a diophantine description of a r.e. set and on the complexity of such a description,* MSc thesis, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Groningen, 2010, `http://irs.ub.rug.nl/dbi/4b87adf513823`.

[2] Yu. Matiyasevich, *Hilbert's tenth problem,* MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1993.

Apoloniusz Tyszka
Technical Faculty
Hugo Kołłątaj University
Balicka 116B, 30-149 Kraków, Poland
E-mail address: `rttyszka@cyf-kr.edu.pl`